



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/497,021	02/01/2000	Gregg S. Goyins	10992292-1	6980

22879 7590 02/09/2005

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, KEVIN M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2674

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/497,021

Applicant(s)

GOYINS ET AL.

Examiner

Kevin M. Nguyen

Art Unit

2674

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is made in response to applicant's amendment filed on 09/19/2004. Claims 20, 21, 24 are amended, and claims 1-28 are currently pending in the application. An action follows below:
2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 7 and 9, filed 09/19/2005, with respect to the rejections of claims 1-28 under the statutory basis for the previous rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. **Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-11, 20, 24-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kahn et al (US 5,080,467).**

5. As to claims 1, 20, 24, Kahn et al reviews a conventional liquid crystal display (LCD) device associated with a method, the conventional LCD device comprising:

a first electrodes 16 (fig. 1), a second electrode 18 (fig. 1), a liquid crystal layer 20 (a layer of liquid crystal material, fig. 1), an electrical current pulse through the electrode is used to generate heat in the liquid crystal (see fig. 1, col. 2, lines 45-47).

Art Unit: 2674

6. As to claim 2, Kahn et al reviews the electrical current pulse through the electrode is used to generate heat in the liquid crystal (see fig. 1, col. 2, lines 45-47). Thus, the difference of electric potential between two points of a conductor carrying a constant current.

7. As to claim 4, 25, Kahn et al reviews the electrodes 16 and 18 serve to allow application of an electric field in a direction essentially normal to the liquid crystal layer (col. 2, lines 5-7). Thus, the first and second electrodes 16 and 18 apply a uniform electric field across the liquid crystal layer 20.

8. As to claims 6, 26, Kahn et al reviews a signal from a voltage source 22 is applied across one of the electrodes (col. 2, lines 53-55). Thus, the difference of electric potential between two points of a conductor carrying a constant current.

9. As to claim 7, Kahn et al reviews the electrodes 16 and 18 are transparent material (col. 2, lines 3-4).

10. As to claim 8, Kahn et al reviews a signal from a voltage source 22 is applied across one of the electrodes (col. 2, lines 53-55). Thus, the difference of electric potential between two points of one of electrodes 16 or 18.

11. As to claim 9, Kahn et al reviews AC voltages as wells as DC voltages (col. 3, line 20). Thus, AC voltage is an alternating signal.

12. As to claims 10, 28, Kahn et al reviews DC voltages including a positive and a negative of the difference of electric potential between two points of one of electrodes 16 or 18. Thus, the positive (+) and the negative (-) are symmetrically opposite bipolar signals.

Art Unit: 2674

13. As to claim 11, Kahn et al reviews the image projection system (col. 2, lines 15-13) which includes the liquid crystal material in the cell 10 defined the polarizer (col. 2, lines 24-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

15. **Claims 3, 5, 21, 22, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kahn et al in view of Ferguson et al (US 3,410,999).**

16. As to claim 3, Kahn et al reviews all of the claimed limitations of claim 1, except for the polarizer is a polarizing switching of an electronic color switch.

However, Ferguson et al teaches a related liquid crystal layer 26 which includes red, green, and blue (col. 4, lines 43-45).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Kahn's liquid crystal layer including red, green and blue, in view of the teaching in the Ferguson's reference, because this would provide the color display for the liquid crystal display screen.

17. As to claim 5, Ferguson et al teaches the switching units 40 and 50 (see fig. 2) which select no electrical current pulse through the electrode is not used to generate heat in the liquid crystal layer 32.

Art Unit: 2674

18. As to claim 21, 27, Ferguson et al teaches the switching units 40 and 50 (see fig. 2) which defined a first contact and a second contact.

19. As to claim 22, Ferguson et al teaches the switching units 40 and 50 (see fig. 2) which control the current flowing through the electrodes 28 and 22 (see fig. 2).

20. **Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferguson et al in view of Kahn et al, and further in view of Kubota et al.**

21. As to claim 23, Ferguson and Kahn et al teach all of the claimed limitation of claim 20, except for a set of amplifiers.

However, Kubota et al teaches a related LCD device which includes two amplifiers (see fig. 2).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide two amplifiers taught by Kubota for Ferguson's LCD device because this would provide the most suitable voltage to be supplied to the LCD device, improve the image being displayed, while fabricating the driver circuitry at low cost as taught by Kubota (col. 6, lines 1-8).

22. **Claims 13, 14, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferguson et al in view of Kahn et al.**

23. As to claim 13, Ferguson et al teaches a liquid crystal display LCD device associated with a method, the LCD device comprising:

- a. a liquid crystal layer 26 (fig. 2) defined a switchable polarizer.
- b. A first driving mode defined below:

In order to display an image, heat must be applied to the element 26. The switch 50 would be connected to the terminal 52 as shown in fig. 2 and the switch 40 would be connected to the terminal 42 as shown in fig. 2. A current corresponding to the source 56 and the video source 58 would be applied across the element 26. The current flowing in the resistance layer 24 of the element 26 would cause heating in accordance with the amount of current (col. 4, lines 30-40).

- c. A second driving mode defined when both switches 40 and 50 are not connected to the current source 56 and video source 58 (fig. 2).
- d. The current source 56 connected series the conductive electrodes 22 and the conductive electrodes 28. Thus, the difference of electric potential between two points of a conductor carrying a constant current.

Ferguson et al teaches all of the claimed limitation of claim 13, except for applying a first voltage signal and a second voltage signal apply to the first electrode and the second electrode.

However, Kahn reviews a related LCD device which includes an AC voltages as well as by DC voltages (col. 3, lines 20) applied to a first electrodes 16 (fig. 1), a second electrode 18 (fig. 1) of the LCD device. AC or DC voltages including a positive and a negative of the difference of electric potential between two points of one of electrodes 16 or 18. Thus, the positive (+) and the negative (-) are symmetrically opposite bipolar signals. Therefore, AC or DC voltage include the first voltage signal applying to the first electrode and the second voltage signal applying to the second electrode.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the DC voltage source reviewed by Kahn for LCD device of Fergason, because this would provide the voltage for the LCD device.

24. As to claim 14, Fergason et al teaches the current source 56 (fig. 2) which couples to the electrodes 22 and 28 (fig. 2). Fergason et al teaches all of the claimed limitation, except for two current sources. Absent a showing of criticality it would have been within the level of skill in the art and obvious to one having ordinary skill to engineering design making separable the current source of a well-known element is normally not directed toward patentable subject matter as desired as was judicially recognized. A making separable is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *Nerwin v. Erlichman*, 168 USPQ 177, 179 (PTO Bd. Of Int. 1969).

25. As to claim 16, Kahn et al reviews AC voltages as wells as DC voltages (col. 3, line 20). Thus, AC voltage is an alternating signal.

26. **Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fergason et al in view of Kahn et al, and further in view of Hong et al (US 4,820,933).**

27. As to claim 15, Fergason et al and Kahn teach all of the claimed limitation of claim 13, except for the voltage signals apply to the electrodes coupling each electrodes to an output of an amplifier.

However, Hong et al teaches a related LCD device 5 which couples two buffers 4A and 4B to each electrodes 12A and 12B (see fig. 3, col. 4, lines 30-31).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide LCD device 5 which couples two buffers 4A and 4B to each electrodes 12A and 12B taught by Hong et al for Fergason's LCD device because this would reduce the reflecting light directed to the viewer as taught by Hong et al (col. 8, lines 67-68).

28. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kubota et al (US 5,74,155).

29. As to claim 17, Kubota teaches a LCD device comprising:

- e. A scan driving line driving circuit includes at least a first electrode (+) and a second electrode (-) see fig. 4.
- f. A liquid crystal layer inherent is between two electrodes.
- g. A current supply circuit 13b includes a first current source 16 coupling to the first electrode (+) (see fig. 4)
- h. A current supply circuit 13b includes a second current source 16 coupling to the first electrode (-) (see fig. 4) a signal from a voltage source 22 is applied across one of the electrodes (col. 2, lines 53-55). Thus, the difference of electric potential between two points of the first electrode (+) and the second electrode (-) carrying a constant current in magnitude.
- i. A current supply circuit 13b includes two amplifiers (two buffers) coupling to the first electrode (+) and the second electrode (-) (see fig. 4), and driving voltage VGH and VGL to the first electrode (+) and the second electrode (-) (see fig. 4)

Art Unit: 2674

30. As to claim 18, Kubota teaches a current supply circuit 13b includes two amplifiers (two buffers) coupling to the first electrode (+) and the second electrode (-) (see fig. 4), and driving voltage VGH and VGL to the first electrode (+) and the second electrode (-) (see fig. 4).

31. As to claim 19, Kubota teaches two amplifiers 14 (two buffers) (fig. 2) receiving different polarization drive signal VGH' and VGL' (see fig. 2) and a reference voltage signal VSS (see fig. 4).

Conclusion

32. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-6209. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THU from 8:00-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick N. Edouard can be reached on 703-308-6725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.


Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the Patent Application Information Retrieval system, see <http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair>. Should you have questions on access to the

Art Unit: 2674

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197
(toll-free).

Kevin M. Nguyen
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2674

KMN
February 1st, 2005



PATRICK N. EDOUARD
PRIMARY EXAMINER